

INVESTOR DAY

PORTRAIT - MILANO

NASDAQ: GNTA

30 November 2023



Pierluigi Paracchi

CEO and Co-Founder

Last Year in Review: Key Milestones and Achievements





Carlo Russo

CMO & Head of Development

TEMFERON Clinical Programs



TEM-GBM

21st patient dosed

2nd Indication GU Cancers

 Pre-CTA submitted – Meeting expected by the end of the year

TEM-GBM ODD granted by FDA & EMA

FDA ODD granted on 1 March 2023
EMA ODD granted on 20 June 2023

DP & LVV Manufacturing Processes

- Tech Transfer of DP to Bresso AGC facility -COMPLETED
- 48L LVV scale up COMPLETED
 - Full scale Development batch manufactured and released



Phase 2 study in Glioblastoma Multiforme Timeline



Phase 2 Identified Clinical Centers



| SELECTED CLINICAL SITES | NEUROSURGE RY UNIT | HAEMATOLO GY UNIT |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Ospedale San Raffale - Milano* | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Istituto Neurologico C. Besta - Milano | \checkmark | |
| Humanitas – Milano | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza - Torino [*] | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Istituto Oncologico Veneto - Padova | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Policlinico Gemelli - Roma* | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Bologna - IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche | \checkmark | |

* CAR-T program

Centers engaged in Phase I Centers identified for the Phase II (preliminary discussion performed)



TEM-GBM STUDY

Interim Clinical Data



Temferon Phase 1/2a Design in Glioblastoma Multiforme

A multi-center, open-label, dose escalation & extension study in GBM patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter



KEY INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Histologically confirmed, newly diagnosed supratentorial glioblastoma with unmethylated MGMT gene promoter.
- Patients have undergone complete or partial tumor resection and are eligible for adjuvant radiotherapy.
- 18-70 years old, in good clinical condition (ECOG 0-1, KPS>70%), life expectancy >6mths, adequate organ function.



Single Temferon Dose: Durable and Below Tolerability Threshold



🛛 Cohort 1 📕 Cohort 2 🔺 Cohort 3 🔮 Cohort 4 🌟 Cohort 5 🔶 Cohort 6 🗮 Cohort 7

Engineered myeloid cells stabilize by day +90 and persist in blood with tightly regulated IFN- α expression (as shown by barely detectable IFN- α levels in the blood plasma in the pg/ml range)



Raised IFN- α concentrations in the CSF are potentially related to TEMs Recruitment





Induction of Potential Immune Response T Cells Expand and Infiltrate GBM Tumor



Survival rate at 2 Years in Temferon GBM Trial is Higher than in Published Reports

| SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | [§] The study include all population-bas |
|---|--|
| OPEN Longer-term (≥ 2 years) survival | reporting overall s |
| in patients with glioblastoma | \geq 2 years in adults |
| in population-based studies pre- | glioblastoma with |
| and post-2005: a systematic review | into consideration |
| and meta-analysis | methylation status |
| Michael T. C. Poon ^{1,1} , Cathie L. M. Sudlow ^{1,1,1} , Jonine D. Figueroa ^{1,3} & Paul M. Brennan ^{1,101} | |

ed all the ed studies survival at s with out taking the S.





| | 2 YEARS |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Stupp, et al. Lancet Onc. 2009 | 14.8 % |
| Poon et al., Scientific Reports 2020§ | 18% |

| TEM-GBM | 2 YEARS |
|------------------|---------|
| Patient number | 5 |
| % out of 18 pts* | 28% |

* 3 patients excluded – from Cohort 7 with insufficient follow-up

1 – Hegi et al., N Engl J Med. 2005;

Censored 30th June 2023

Stupp et al., 2009



| | Deaths/ patlents | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Median (months; 95% CI) | 2 years (%) | 3 years (%) | 4 years (%) | 5 years (%) |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| MGMT unmethylated | | | | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | 54/54 | 1.0 | 11.8 (10.0-14.4) | 1.8 (0.1-8.6) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Combined | 54/60 | 0.6 (0.4-0.8) | 12.6 (11.6-14.4) | 14-8 (7-2-25-0) | 11.1 (4.7-20.7) | 11.1 (4-7-20-7) | 8-3 (2-7-18-0) |
| MGMT methylated* | | | | | | | |
| Radiotherapy | 43/46 | 0.5 (0.3-0.7) | 15.3 (13.0-20.9) | 23.9 (12.9-36.9) | 7.8 (2.2-18.3) | 7-8 (2-2-18-3) | 5.2 (1.0-15.0) |
| Combined | 37/46 | 0-3 (0-2-0-4) | 23.4 (18.6-32.8) | 48-9 (33-7-62-4) | 27.6 (15.4-41.4) | 22.1 (11.0-35.7) | 13-8 (4-5-28-2) |
| Data are percentage survival (95% CI) unless otherwise stated. *HR relative to radiotherapy unmethylated. | | | | | | | |

0

BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCES OF TEMFERON EFFECT ON THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT





Analysis of the TME of Temferon and SOC treated patients by Single Cell RNA sequencing

Comparison of 5 Temferon patients and 6 SOC control relapses pts



Identification of the major immune compartments, (myeloid and lymphoid), within the hematopoietic fraction



Temferon reprograms the myeloid and T cell compartment in the tumor microenvironment

scRNAseq of GBM TME: Comparison of 5 Temferon patients and

6 Standard Of Care (SOC – Temozolomide + Radiotherapy) control relapses pts



Temferon reaches and affects the CD45- tumor compartment

scRNAseq of GBM TME: Comparison of 5 Temferon patients and 6 SOC control relapses pts



AC = Astrocytes Cells; NPC-OPC = Neuro Precursor Cells – Oligo Precursors Cells MES = Mesenchymal Oligo = Oligodendrocytes Neuro = Neuron SMC = Smooth Muscle Cells



- Downregulation of proliferation in AC, OPCs and MES-like
- Up-regulation of IFN response in all clusters except for endothelium, MES-like and proliferating NPCs.
- OPC, Oligo and proliferative astrocytes are the most sensitive populations to TEMFERON treatment



Striking Differences in TIM Landscape between **Stable/Progressing Tumor**

Differential biopises on day +205:



Interim Clinical Data in uMGMT GBM: Temferon is Safe and Biologically Active



The reported serious adverse events (SAEs) for Cohorts 1 to 7 were of the type typically associated with transplant procedures (pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, febrile neutropenia, fatigue, C.diff infection, CMV reactivation, septic shock, graft failure, anemia due to CMV reactivation) or underlying disease GBM (worsening left hemiparesis, seizure, brain abscess, hyperglycemia, sudden death). A suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction (SUSAR) of elevated gamma glutamyl transferase was also reported (spontaneously resolved).
 2 - Cutoff date – June 30th, 2023

19

IDENTIFICATION & SELECTION OF A 2nd SOLID TUMOR INDICATION





Criteria for Selection of Temferon 2nd Indication

- **1. Easy to biopsy**: potential for multiple samples preferred pre- and post-treatment
- 2. Unmet need even with available cellular therapies (CAR-T) and ICI
- **3.** IFN α evidence of anti-tumor activity
- **4.** Monocyte rich tumour microenvironment
- **5. Rare cancer indication** to allow a potential faster route to registration

6. Morbidity

Sustainability for autologous stem cell transplant (Auto-HSCT).

7. Prognosis/progression free survival (PFS)
 Temferon may require 3-6 months to mediate
 clinically efficacy (bone marrow engraftment
 > generation of transduced TEMs)

8. Concomitant medications

- Efficacy assessment with multiple combinations of chemotherapy
- Temferon synergy with other I/O treatments by reprograming TME
- Need to avoid or reduce immunosuppressants eg steroids
- Potential synergy with radiotherapy



Tumor Targets*

| | CANCER | STRENGTH | SOC/MEDICATIONS |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Renal cell carcinoma (RCC): Stage IV | Orphan disease, IFN well established, immunotherapy now well established and potential for combination, opportunity to perform biopsies, monocyte rich | Anti-PD-1 CTLA4 VEGFR |
| 2 | Malignant melanoma: Stage III-IV | $\ensuremath{IFN\alpha}$ well established, combo treatment approach, TAMs rich, biopsy feasible | Anti-PD-1 CTLA4 MEK1/2 |
| 3 | High grade osteosarcoma | Orphan disease, limited treatment options after surgery and chemotherapy, biopsy feasible | No licensed, experimental only: VEGFR2 Other TKIs eg VEGFR2/TIE2 |
| 4 | Non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Stage IV | Combo approach with EGFR mutation specific inhibitors or ICIs, high unmet need | Anti-PD-L1 EGFR inhibitors c-MET, RET, ROS-1, ALK inhibitor |
| 5 | Advanced Breast Cancer: Stage III-IV a)HER2-ve, ER +ve b)Triple –ve TNBC | Unmet need, preclinical data available with Temferon | Anti-PD-L1 CDK4/6 |
| 6 | Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) head and neck: (Stage IV) | Diverse range tumour types: potential for sub-group investigation. High potential for radiation synergy & native tissue biopsy. | Anti-PD-1 In clinical trials: PD-L1, CTLA4 |
| 7 | Muscle Invasive Bladder cancer (Stage III-IV) | Temferon indicated after failed conventional chemotherapy: co-administration with immunotherapies | Anti-PD-1 Anti-PD-L1 FGFR |



Temferon 2nd Solid Tumor Indication **Urinary Cancers**

Genenta selected metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) and metastatic urothelial carcinoma (mUC) as additional solid tumor indications for Temferon clinical development

RATIONALE

Strong historical evidence to support potential Temferon efficacy

as an innovative and clinically relevant approach

| Until 2005, systemic administration of IFN- α and IL-2 |
|---|
| were SOC treatment for mRCC. |

IFN- α in mRCC was associated with a survival benefit compared to controls [644 mRCC pts: OR for death at 1 year=0.56 (95%Cl 0.40 to 0.77)¹]

- Systemic IFN- α use limited in the past by the systemic toxicities
- IFN- α as an intravesical therapy for UC has been evaluated over many decades with variable results. Short drug exposure time rather than inherent lack of anti-tumour activity²

SOLUTION

Temferon has been designed to attenuate systemic toxicity associated with IFN- α and to achieve greater therapeutic benefit within the TMF

Targeted IFN- α delivery

- FERRING HARMACEUTICALS
- BCG-unresponsive non-muscle invasive bladder
 - CR in 51% of patients with in situ carcinoma by three months³

Temferon reprograms the TME and acts directly on tumors cells and neo-angiogenesis



Temferon Study Design in Refractory Advanced Genitourinary Malignancies





2nd Indication CTA Filing Process

